## LYCOPHRON, ALEXANDRA 261–2 AND HOMERIC $A\Gamma KY \Lambda OXH \Lambda H \Sigma$

At Lycophron, *Alexandra* 258–80, Cassandra laments the coming death of her brother Hector at Achilles' hands. In the opening lines of the passage, she identifies Achilles as a ferocious eagle (258–62):

έκεινό σ', ὧ τάλαινα καρδία, κακὸν ἐκεινο δάψει πημάτων ὑπέρτατον, εὖτ' ἂν λαβράζων περκνὸς αἰχμητὴς χάρων πτεροι̂σι χέρσον αἰετὸς διαγράφων ῥαιβοι̂ τυπωτὴν τόρμαν ἀγκύλη βάσει

262 βαιβοί Scheer : βαιβώ codd.

The imagery of the passage is complex, and combines two separate but closely related ideas. On the one hand, in treating Achilles as a raptor who will swoop down and carry off Hector (cf. 265  $\delta\rho\pi\delta\sigma as$   $\mu\epsilon\tau\delta\rho\sigma\iota\sigma\nu$ ), the passage makes concrete the bird similes of *Iliad* 22, where first Achilles (139–44) and then Hector (308–11) are compared to birds of prey as they engage in their final battle. On the other, as the scholia observe, verses 261–2 draw on the image of a chariot or other vehicle cutting a track in the ground as it rounds a stadium course ( $\chi\epsilon\rho\sigma\sigma\nu$  ...  $\delta\iota\alpha\gamma\rho\dot{\alpha}\phi\omega\nu$  /  $\dot{\rho}\alpha\iota\dot{\beta}o\hat{\iota}$   $\tau\upsilon\pi\omega\tau\dot{\eta}\nu$   $\tau\dot{\delta}\rho\mu\alpha\nu$ ). The language thus simultaneously evokes Achilles' dragging of Hector's corpse behind his chariot and anticipates Cassandra's characterization of the Greek hero as a ploughman cutting furrows in the earth (268  $\lambda\epsilon\upsilon\rho\dot{\alpha}s$   $\beta\dot{\omega}\dot{\omega}\tau\eta s$   $\gamma\alpha\tau\dot{\omega}\dot{\omega}\nu$   $\delta\iota'$   $\alpha\ddot{\nu}\lambda\alpha\kappa\sigma s$ ) as he defiles the body.

The phrase  $\partial_{\gamma}\kappa\dot{\nu}\lambda_{\eta}$   $\beta\dot{\alpha}\sigma\epsilon\iota$  contributes to the conflation of avian and vehicular imagery. At one level, the phrase is appropriate to the progress of the round wheels of a chariot (cf. Soph. *El.* 718,  $\tau\rho\sigma\chi\hat{\omega}\nu$   $\beta\dot{\alpha}\sigma\epsilon\iota s$ , i.e. 'rolling wheels'). At the same time, the context simultaneously allows  $\beta\dot{\alpha}\sigma\iota s$  to be understood in the sense 'feet', as often (LSJ, s.v. II), and the noun–adjective combination to refer to the curved talons of an eagle. Thus the phrase as a whole serves as a linchpin that brings together the images of Achilles as a bird of prey, on the one hand, and a charioteer rounding the bend of a racecourse, on the other.

¹ If the MS reading  $\hat{\rho}\alpha\iota\beta\hat{\varphi}$ , presupposed by the gloss of the scholia ad loc. (p. 53 Leone),  $\hat{\rho}\alpha\iota\beta\hat{\varphi}$   $\hat{\delta}\hat{\epsilon}$   $\tau\hat{\varphi}$   $\hat{\epsilon}\pi\iota\kappa\alpha\mu\pi\epsilon\hat{\epsilon}$ , is retained, the phrase wants a verb. Scaliger transposed 268 after 262, so that the participle  $\gamma\alpha\tau\sigma\mu\hat{\omega}\nu$  governs  $\tau\delta\rho\mu\alpha\nu$  and both  $\hat{\rho}\alpha\iota\beta\hat{\varphi}$  and  $\hat{d}\gamma\kappa\dot{\nu}\lambda\eta$  are adjectives governing  $\beta\hat{d}\sigma\epsilon\iota$ . Leaving aside the odd redundancy of the adjectives, transposition remains a desperate and unattractive solution, and it is better to find a verb in either  $\hat{\rho}\alpha\iota\beta\hat{\varphi}$  or  $\hat{d}\gamma\kappa\dot{\nu}\lambda\eta$ . C. von Holzinger, Lycophron's Alexandra (Leipzig, 1895) prints  $\hat{d}\gamma\kappa\dot{\nu}\lambda\lambda\eta$  in the sense 'rounds', while A. Hurst, Lycophron: Alexandra (Paris, 2008), 139, retains  $\hat{d}\gamma\kappa\dot{\nu}\lambda\eta$  but understands it as a verb. The latter is improbable: the aorist subjunctive would be odd in context, especially given its coordination with  $\chi\rho\alpha\iota\sigma\mu\eta$ .  $\hat{d}\gamma\kappa\nu\lambda\hat{\eta}$ , canvassed but not printed by Holzinger, requires taking  $\hat{d}\gamma\kappa\nu\lambda\hat{\epsilon}\omega$  in a different sense from that found at Satyrus F 20.22 Schorn; other passages presume  $\hat{d}\gamma\kappa\nu\lambda\delta\omega$  (cf. Hsch. a 568). The separation of noun and adjective if  $\hat{d}\gamma\kappa\dot{\nu}\lambda\eta$  is or masks a verb is not impossible (Holzinger adduces 247  $\kappa\rho\eta\nu\alpha\hat{\iota}\omega\nu$ ....  $\gamma\hat{d}\nu\sigma$ ), but since editorial intervention seems necessary in any case, Scheer's  $\hat{\rho}\alpha\iota\beta\omega\hat{\iota}$  (accepted by Fusillo in M. Fusillo, A. Hurst and G. Paduano [edd.], Licofrone: Alexandra [Milan, 1991]) appears the better solution. At the risk of circularity, it may be said that, if the suggestion proposed in this note is correct, adjectival  $\hat{d}\gamma\kappa\dot{\nu}\lambda\eta$  takes on special significance.

In this polyvalent context, the adjective  $\mathring{a}\gamma\kappa\nu\lambda\sigma_s$  evokes a disputed Homeric epithet for birds of prey. The epithet  $\mathring{a}\gamma\kappa\nu\lambda\sigma\chi\eta\lambda\eta_s$  or  $\mathring{a}\gamma\kappa\nu\lambda\sigma\chi\epsilon\iota\lambda\eta_s$  appears thrice in Homer, always in reference to carnivorous birds, including, at Od. 19.538–9, an eagle:

έλθων δ' έξ ὄρεος μέγας αἰετὸς ἀγκυλοχήλης πάσι κατ' αὐχένας ἦξε καὶ ἔκτανεν

Both the meaning and orthography of the Homeric epithet were debated in antiquity. Some scholars treated the word as deriving from  $\chi \epsilon \hat{\iota} \lambda o_S$  and referring to the bird's beak, while others connected it to  $\chi \eta \lambda \dot{\eta}$  and treated it as a reference the bird's talons.<sup>2</sup> The majority of MSS have  $-\chi \epsilon (\lambda -)$ , though others have  $\chi \dot{\eta} \lambda -$ . Even some critics who read  $\dot{d} \gamma \kappa \nu \lambda o \chi \epsilon (\dot{\iota} \lambda \eta_S)$  believed it to be a compound of  $\chi \eta \lambda \dot{\eta}$ . Others objected that if the word referred to talons it would be tautologous after  $\gamma a \mu \psi \dot{\omega} \nu \nu \chi \epsilon S$  in the description of vultures at II.  $16.428 = Od.~22.302 = Hes.~Sc.~405~(oi \dot{\delta} \dot{\delta} \dot{\omega} S \tau' a \dot{\iota} \gamma \nu \pi \iota oi \gamma \gamma a \mu \psi \dot{\omega} \nu \nu \chi \epsilon S \dot{\alpha} \gamma \kappa \nu \lambda o \chi \epsilon (\dot{\iota} \lambda a \iota [-\chi \dot{\eta} \lambda a \iota]).^3$  Outside the scholarly tradition, the word occurs occasionally in other literature: the comic playwright Aristophanes and the author of the Batrachomyomachia both use the adjective to refer to curved claws, or hands,<sup>4</sup> but it remains uncertain whether their use of the word reflected their interpretation of the Homeric adjective or was intended as a comic distortion of it.<sup>5</sup>

Alexandra 262 may offer better evidence on this score. Given the overt engagement with Homer in this passage, we suggest that in using the phrase  $\mathring{a}\gamma\kappa\mathring{u}\lambda\eta$   $\mathring{\beta}\mathring{a}\sigma\epsilon\iota$  in reference to the feet of a carnivorous bird Lycophron glosses the Homeric epithet, and thus subtly implies his endorsement of the view that at Od. 19.538 the Homeric epithet  $\mathring{a}\gamma\kappa\upsilon\lambda\alpha\chi\epsilon\mathring{\iota}\lambda\eta s/-\chi\mathring{\eta}\lambda\eta s$  (whatever its orthography) referred to the eagle's curved talons. The phrase should thus perhaps be added to the list of instances identified by A. Rengakos in which Lycophron stakes out a position on a Homeric zetema.

Georgetown University

C. McNELIS cam72@georgetown.edu

A. SENS

sensa@georgetown.edu

doi:10.1017/S0009838811000334

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Cf. B. Snell and H. Erbse (edd.), *Lexicon des frühgriechischen Epos* (Göttingen, 1955–), s.v.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Cf. Hdn.  $\pi\epsilon\rho$ ì  $\pi\alpha\theta$ ων, Grammatici Graeci, vol. 3.2, pp. 361–2; and  $\pi\epsilon\rho$ ì κλίσεως ὀνομάτων, Grammatici Graeci vol. 3.2. p. 688–9, who discusses the view that ἀγκυλοχείλης is a Boeotian form of ἀγκυλοχήλης; Ap. S. p. 8.16–17, Hsch. α 573; EM p. 11.16–25.

 $<sup>^4</sup>$  Ar. Eq. 204–5, τί δῷ ἀγκυλοχήλης ἐστίν; αὐτό που λέγει, / ὅτι ἀγκύλαις ταῖς χερσὶν ἀρπάζων φέρει (cf. 197–8); Batrach. 294 (of crabs).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> For the former view, see F. Bechtel, *Lexilogus zu Homer* (Halle, 1914) 7; P. Chantraine, *Grammaire Homérique*, vol. 1 (Paris, 1973), 8; contra, R. Janko, *The* Iliad: *A Commentary, Volume IV: Books 13–16* (Cambridge, 1992), 374 (on *Il.* 16.428). That the Aristophanic joke at *Eq.* 204–5 is an *ad hoc* distortion finds some support from the oracle a few lines earlier (*Eq.* 197–8,) where the juxtaposition of  $\frac{\partial \gamma}{\partial \kappa} \frac{\partial \gamma}{\partial k} \frac{\partial$ 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> By contrast, Σ<sup>DEFGQ</sup> Pi. P. 1.8 adduces Od. 19.538 to explain the use of the phrase ἀγκύλω κρατί to refer to the curved beak of the sleeping eagle of Zeus.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> A. Rengakos, 'Lykophron also Homererklärer', ZPE 102 (1994), 111–30.